

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re Application of

JOHN EDMUND PAYLOR, as Trustee in Bankruptcy
of Junie Conrad Omari Bowers, as Trustee in
Bankruptcy of Andrew Nathaniel Skeene, and as
Liquidator of Proximity Ventures Ltd. (in liquidation)

For an Order to Conduct Discovery for
Use in Foreign Proceedings

Case No. 17 Misc. 23

**ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION FOR
JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C §1782**

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon the Application of John Edmund Paylor, as Trustee in Bankruptcy of Junie Conrad Omari Bowers, as Trustee in Bankruptcy of Andrew Nathaniel Skeene, and as Liquidator of Proximity Ventures Ltd. (in liquidation) ("Applicant") for Judicial Assistance Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 ("Application"). The Court, having considered the § 1782 Application, the supporting materials, and otherwise being fully advised in the premises, finds as follows:

A. Applicant has met the requirements under 28 U.S.C. § 1782 for granting the requested judicial assistance and relief.

B. For purposes of the instant Application, the following financial institutions, persons, and corporate entities reside or are found in the Southern District of New York: Citibank, N.A.; The Bank of New York Mellon; Société Générale; HSBC Bank USA, N.A.; BNP Paribas USA; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Barclays Bank PLC; Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Americas; Bank of Nova Scotia; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; UBS AG; Bank of America, N.A.; Standard Chartered Bank; Commerzbank AG; Bank of China USA – New York Branch, Safra National Bank of New York, Bankers Trust Company, and The Clearing House Payments Company LLC (collectively, "Discovery Targets").

C. The documentary and testimonial discovery sought through this Application is for use in proceedings pending before a foreign tribunal.

D. The Applicant is an interested person within the meaning of the statute, in his capacity as a trustee and liquidator in English insolvency proceedings.

E. The discretionary factors, as described by the United States Supreme Court in Intel Corp. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 542 U.S. 241, 247 (2004), weigh in favor of granting the requested assistance.

F. More particularly: (1) the Discovery Targets are not parties in the proceedings in the United Kingdom and are not expected to become parties thereto, thus, the need for this discovery is more apparent; (2) there is no indication that the foreign court would not be receptive to U.S. federal-court judicial assistance as requested in the Application; (3) the Application does not conceal an attempt to circumvent foreign proof-gathering restrictions; and (4) the Application seeks discovery that is not unduly intrusive or burdensome as the Application requests evidence of the type normally produced by financial institutions, persons, or corporate entities as third parties or parties in litigation.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** as follows:


1. The Application is **GRANTED**.
2. Any discovery taken pursuant to this Order will be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
3. The Applicant's request for leave to conduct discovery including, but not limited to, leave to serve subpoenas in substantially similar form as the form attached to the Application and to take testimony is **GRANTED**.
4. The Applicant's request to be appointed as the person with the power to administer any necessary oath and take testimony or a statement is **GRANTED**.

5. The Discovery Targets are ordered to preserve all relevant and potentially relevant evidence in their possession, custody or control until such time as Applicant communicates to them that the preservation is no longer necessary or until further order of this Court.

6. Applicant is further authorized to issue and serve additional follow-up subpoenas on the Discovery Targets or third parties as may be necessary to obtain the documentary and testimonial evidence for use in the foreign proceeding, as described in the Application.

7. Nothing in this Order should be construed to prevent or otherwise foreclose Applicant from seeking modification of this Order or leave of Court to serve any additional subpoena on a person or entity.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 25th day of January, 2017.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
Paul J